

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/931,291	KAASHOEK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136	

All Participants:

 (1) Eleni A. Shiferaw.

 (2) Denis G. Maloney.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 June 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 18, 21 and 22


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

request to file a terminal disclaimer for double patenting rejection, and approval for examiner's amendment for 112, 101 issues and amendments to particularly pointout the invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)